arXiv:1512.01083v1 [math.RA] 3 Dec 2015

INVOLUTIONS ON TENSOR PRODUCTS OF QUATERNION ALGEBRAS

DEMBA BARRY

Abstract. We study possible decompositions of totally decomposable algebras with involution, that is, tensor products of quaternion algebras with involution. In particular, we are interested in decompositions in which one or several factors are the split quaternion algebra $M_2(F)$, endowed with an orthogonal involution. Using the theory of gauges, developed by Tignol-Wadsworth, we construct examples of algebras isomorphic to a tensor product of quaternion algebras with k split factors, endowed with an involution which is totally decomposable, but does not admit any decomposition with k factors $M_2(F)$ with involution. This extends an earlier result of Sivatski where the algebra considered is of degree 8 and index 4, and endowed with some orthogonal involution.

1. Introduction

Let F be a field of characteristic different from 2. In [Mer81], Merkurjev showed that a central simple F-algebra of exponent 2 is always Brauer-equivalent to a tensor product of quaternion algebras. In degree 8, this result was first proved by Tignol (see for instance [Jac96, Thm. 5.6.38]). More precisely, let A be a central simple F-algebra of degree 8 and exponent 2. Tignol showed that $M_2(A)$ is isomorphic to a tensor product of four quaternion algebras. Moreover, if A is indecomposable (i.e, A does not contain any quaternion subalgebra, see [ART79]), one may check that $M_2(A)$ does not admit any decomposition as a tensor product of quaternion algebras in which one the quarternion factors is split, that is isomorphic to $M_2(F)$. In this paper, we are interested in the analogue question for algebras with involution.

A central simple algebra A of exponent 2 is called *totally decomposable* if $A \simeq Q_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Q_m$, for some quaternion algebras Q_i. The set $\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_m\}$ is called a set of factors of A. Moreover, we say that A is totally decomposable with k split factors if it admits a set of factors containing k split factors $M_2(F)$. This implies that the *co-index* of A, which is the ratio $\deg A/\operatorname{ind} A$ is a multiple of 2^k; but as explained before, this co-index condition is not sufficient for totally decomposable algebra to admit a decomposition with k split factors. Assume now that A is endowed with an F-linear involution σ. We say that (A, σ) is totally decomposable if $(A, \sigma) \simeq$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16W10; Secondary 16K20, 16W60, 16W70, 11E39.

Key words and phrases. Central simple algebra, Quaternion algebra, Involution, Valuation, Gauge, Armature.

$(Q_1, \sigma_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (Q_m, \sigma_m)$ and totally decomposable with k split factors if it admits such a decomposition with k factors isomorphic to $M_2(F)$ endowed with some F-linear involution. The main question we are interested in is the following: let A be a central simple algebra of co-index 2^k such that A is totally decomposable with k split factors. Let σ be an anisotropic involution on A. *If (A, σ) is totally decomposable, is it totally decomposable with k split factors*?

As we now proceed to explain, a complete answer is known is the orthogonal case. Let (A, σ) be a totally decomposable algebra with orthogonal involution of degree 2^m. If A is split, Becher showed in [Bec08] that $(A, \sigma) \simeq (M_{2^m}(F), \mathrm{ad}_\pi)$ where π is an m-fold Pfister form over F and ad_π is the involution on $M_{2^m}(F)$ that is adjoint to the polar form of π. By definition of Pfister forms, it follows that (A, σ) is totally decomposable with m split factors. This result is known in the literature as the Pfister Factor Conjecture (see [Sha00, Chap. 9]). Assume that (A, σ) is of co-index 2^{m-1}, i.e, the algebra A is Brauer-equivalent to a quaternion algebra H. Again a positive answer is given in [Bec08]: $(A, \sigma) \simeq (H, \sigma_H) \otimes (M_{2^{m-1}}(F), \mathrm{ad}_{\pi'})$ for some orthogonal involution σ_H on H and some $(m-1)$-fold Pfister form π' over F. In higher index, a counter example is provided by Sivatski in [Siv05, Prop. 5]. He produces an algebra with orthogonal involution of degree 8 and co-index 2 which is totally decomposable, but not totally decomposable with a split factor. Observe that the algebra in this example is isomorphic to $M_2(D)$ for some biquaternion algebra D. Hence, it is totally decomposable with a split factor. Starting from Sivatski's example, we construct examples of algebras with orthogonal involution, of index 2^r and co-index 2^k, where $r \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ are arbitrary, that are not totally decomposable with k split factors as algebras with involution, even though the underlying algebra does admit a total decomposition with k split factors (see Remark 5.5). Those algebras have center some iterated Laurent power series fields, and the theory of gauges play a crucial role in the proofs.

Assume now that the involution is of symplectic type. We give a partial answer to our main question in this case. Since we assume σ is anisotropic, the index of A is at least 2. In index 2, Becher showed in [Bec08] that $(A, \sigma) \simeq (H', \gamma) \otimes (M_{2^{m-1}}(F), \mathrm{ad}_{\pi''})$ where H' is some quaternion algebra, γ is the canonical involution on H' and π'' is some $(m-1)$-fold Pfister form over F. In degree 8 and index 4, we prove that (A, σ) is totally decomposable with 1 split factor (see Prop. 2.2). Finally, in index 2^r and co-index 2^k for arbitrary $r \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$, we give examples of (A, σ) such that A is totally decomposable with k split factors but (A, σ) is not totally decomposable with k split factors (see Remark 5.5).

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we deal with the degree 8 algebras with involution. In particular, we show that if (A, σ) is a central simple algebra of degree 8 and co-index 2^k (where $k \leq 2$) with a symplectic involution then (A, σ) is totally decomposable with k split factors (see Prop. 2.2). Section 3 collects preliminary results on gauges, which are the main tool in the remaining part of the paper. We give in Sections 4 and 5 the proof of our main results and the examples

that extend Sivatski's result to algebras with involution of arbitrarily large co-index (see Cor. 4.4 and Remark 5.5) and to algebras with involution of arbitrarily large index (see Cor. 5.4 and Remark 5.5). Corollaries 4.4 and 5.4 are the immediate consequences of the main Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 below.

1.1. **Statement of main results.** Throughout this paper the characteristic of the base field F is assumed to be different from 2 and all algebras are associative and finite-dimensional over their centers. We will use freely the standard terminology and notation from the theory of finite-dimensional algebras, the theory of valuations on division algebras and the theory of involutions on central simple algebras. For these, as well as background information, we refer the reader to [Pie82] and [KMRT98].

Let us fix some notations: let F be a field, consider the fields

$$K = F((t)) \quad \text{and} \quad L = F((t_1))((t_2)),$$

where t, t_1, t_2 are independent indeterminates over F, and the quaternion algebra $Q = (t_1, t_2)$ over L. For an n-dimensional quadratic form q, following [Bec08], we denote by Ad_q the split algebra with orthogonal involution $(M_n(F), \mathrm{ad}_q)$ where ad_q is the involution on $M_n(F)$ that is adjoint to the polar form of q. Let S be a central simple algebra over F and let ρ be an anisotropic involution on S. Let ρ' be any involution of orthogonal or symplectic type on Q and let

$$(S_1, \sigma) = (S, \rho) \otimes_F \mathrm{Ad}_{\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle}, \quad (S_2, \tau) = (S, \rho) \otimes_F (Q, \rho').$$

Theorem 1.1. *If (S_1, σ) is totally decomposable with $(n+1)$ split factors then (S, ρ) is totally decomposable with n split factors.*

Theorem 1.2. *If (S_2, τ) is totally decomposable with n split factors then (S, ρ) is totally decomposable with n split factors.*

Notice that if (S, ρ) is totally decomposable then the algebras with involution (S_1, σ) and (S_2, τ) are totally decomposable. But we have:

Remark 1.3. Decompositions of the algebra (S_1, σ) of Thm. 1.1 do not necessarily arise from a decomposition of (S, ρ) by multiplication with the factor $\mathrm{Ad}_{\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle}$. For instance, the arguments in the proof of Lemma 4.2 show that if (S, ρ) is a quaternion algebra (a, b) with its canonical involution, then

$$(S, \rho) \otimes \mathrm{Ad}_{\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle} \simeq ((at, b), \nu) \otimes ((t, b), \gamma)$$

where γ is the canonical involution and ν an orthogonal involution with discriminant at. Likewise, decompositions of the algebra (S_2, τ) of Thm. 1.2 do not necessarily arise from a decomposition of (S, ρ).

2. Degree 8

Let A be a central simple algebra of degree 8 and co-index 2 and let σ be an anisotropic involution on A. If σ is orthogonal, it follows from [MQT09, Thm. 1.1] that $(A, \sigma) \simeq (D, \theta) \otimes (M_2(F), \mathrm{ad}_\varphi)$ where (D, θ) is some biquaternion algebra

with orthogonal involution and ad_φ is the adjoint involution with respect to some binary quadratic form φ. Notice that (D, θ) need not to be decomposable in general. Indeed, the following result is due to Sivatski.

Proposition 2.1 ([Siv05, Prop. 5])**.** *There exists a totally decomposable F-algebra with orthogonal involution (A', σ') of degree 8 and co-index 2 such that (A', σ') is not totally decomposable with 1 split factor.*

Now assume that σ is symplectic. It is shown in [BMT03] that $(A, \sigma) \simeq (Q, \gamma) \otimes_F (A_0, \sigma_0)$ where (Q, γ) is a quaternion subalgebra of A with the canonical involution and σ_0 is an orthogonal involution on the subalgebra A_0 of A. Contrary to the orthogonal case, we show in the following proposition that there exists no analogue of the above result of Sivatski when σ is symplectic. First, let us recall that a relative invariant for symplectic involutions on central simple algebras of degree divisible by 4 is defined in [BMT03] and the authors show that the relative invariant is trivial for an algebra of degree 8 and co-index 2 with symplectic involution (A, σ) if and only if (A, σ) is totally decomposable with 1 split factor ([BMT03, Thm. 8]). In [GPT09], this invariant leads to an absolute invariant Δ, with value in $H^3(F, \mu_2)$, for symplectic involutions. For a central simple algebra A of degree 8 with a symplectic involution σ, the element $\Delta(A, \sigma)$ is zero if and only if (A, σ) is totally decomposable (see [GPT09, Thm. B]).

Proposition 2.2. *Let (A, σ) be a totally decomposable algebra of degree 8 with an anisotropic symplectic involution, of co-index 2^m (where $m \leq 2$). Then (A, σ) is totally decomposable with m split factors.*

Proof. If the co-index of A is 4, it follows from Becher's result [Bec08, Cor] that (A, σ) is totally decomposable with 2 split factors. Assume that the co-index of A is 2. Since (A, σ) is totally decomposable, the absolute invariant $\Delta(A, \sigma)$, defined in [GPT09], is zero. Therefore it readily follows by [BMT03, Thm. 8] that (A, σ) is totally decomposable with 1 split factors. □

3. Gauges on algebras with involution

Gauges on algebras with involution play a major role in the next sections. In this section we recall the notions of value functions and gauges introduced in [RTW07], [TW10], [TW11] and [TW15] and gather some results for the sequel.

We fix a divisible totally ordered abelian group Γ, which will contain the value of all the valuations and the degree of all the gradings we consider. Thus, a valued division algebra is a pair (D, v) where D is a division algebra and $v : D \longrightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ is a valuation. The group $v(D^\times)$ of values of D is denoted by Γ_D, and the residue division algebra by $\overline{D}$. The valuation v defines a filtration on D: for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, set

$$D_{\geq\gamma} = \{d \in D \,|\, v(d) \geq \gamma\}, \quad D_{>\gamma} = \{d \in D \,|\, v(d) > \gamma\}$$

and

$$D_\gamma = D_{\geq\gamma}/D_{>\gamma}.$$

The associated graded ring (of v on D) is

$$\mathsf{gr}_v(D) = \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} D_\gamma$$

with the multiplication induced by the multiplication in D. The homogeneous elements of $\mathsf{gr}_v(D)$ are those in $\bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma} D_\gamma$. For $d \in D^\times$, we write $\widetilde{d}$ for the image $d+D_{>v(d)}$ for $d \in D_{v(d)}$, and $\widetilde{0}_D = 0$ in $\mathsf{gr}_v(D)$. It follows from the fact $v(cd) = v(c) + v(d)$, for $c, d \in D^\times$, that $\widetilde{cd} = \widetilde{c}\widetilde{d}$. So, in particular, we have $\widetilde{d}\,\widetilde{(d^{-1})} = \widetilde{1}$ for any $d \in D^\times$. This shows that $\mathsf{gr}_v(D)$ is graded division ring. The grade group of $\mathsf{gr}_v(D)$, denoted $\Gamma_{\mathsf{gr}_v(D)}$, is $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid D_\gamma \neq 0\}$. Notice that $\Gamma_{\mathsf{gr}_v(D)} = \Gamma_D$ and the degree 0 component of $\mathsf{gr}_v(D)$ is $D_0 = D_{\geq 0}/D_{>0} = \overline{D}$.

Let (F, v) be a valued field, and let M be a (right) F-vector space. A *v-value function* on M is a map $\alpha : M \longrightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ such that

(i) $\alpha(x) = \infty$ if and only if $x = 0$;
(ii) $\alpha(x + y) \geq \min(\alpha(x), \alpha(y))$ for $x, y \in M$;
(iii) $\alpha(xc) = \alpha(x) + v(c)$ for all $x \in M$ and $c \in F$.

If α is a v-value function on M, in the same way as for the construction of the graded division algebra, we associate to M a graded $\mathsf{gr}_v(F)$-module that we denote by $\mathsf{gr}_\alpha(M)$. In addition, if M is finite-dimensional and $[M : F] = [\mathsf{gr}_\alpha(M) : \mathsf{gr}_v(F)]$, we say that α is a *norm* (or a v-norm). A v-value function g on an F-algebra A is *surmultiplicative* if

$$g(1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(xy) \geq g(x) + g(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in A.$$

If g is a surmultiplicative v-value function on an F-algebra A, then $\mathsf{gr}_g(A)$ is an algebra over $\mathsf{gr}_v(F)$, in which multiplication is defined by

$$\widetilde{a}\widetilde{b} = ab + A_{>g(a)+g(b)} = \begin{cases} \widetilde{ab} & \text{if } g(ab) = g(a) + g(b), \\ 0 & \text{if } g(ab) > g(a) + g(b), \end{cases} \qquad \text{for } a, b \in A.$$

Suppose A is a finite-dimensional simple F-algebra. A surmultiplicative v-value function g on A is called an *F-gauge* (or a v-gauge) if:

(i) g is a v-norm, i.e, $[A : F] = [\mathsf{gr}_g(A) : \mathsf{gr}_v(F)]$;
(ii) $\mathsf{gr}_g(A)$ is a graded semisimple $\mathsf{gr}_v(F)$-algebra, i.e, $\mathsf{gr}_g(A)$ does not contain any non-zero nilpotent homogeneous two-sided ideal.

We give the following examples that will be used in the proof of our main results.

Examples 3.1. The algebras S_1 and S_2 are as in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

(1) Let

$$i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(K),$$

so $\mathrm{ad}_{\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle}(i) = i$ and $\mathrm{ad}_{\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle}(j) = -j$. Let $(s_\ell)_{1\leq\ell\leq n}$ be an F-basis of S, so $(s_\ell \otimes 1, s_\ell \otimes i, s_\ell \otimes j, s_\ell \otimes ij)_{1\leq\ell\leq n}$ is a K-basis of S_1. The t-adic valuation v on K extends to a

map

$$g_1 \,:\, S_1 \longrightarrow (\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}) \cup \{\infty\}$$

defined by

$$g_1\big(\sum_{1\leq \ell \leq n} s_\ell \otimes (\alpha_\ell + \beta_\ell i + \gamma_\ell\, j + \delta_\ell ij)\big) = \min_{1\leq \ell\leq n} \big(v(\alpha_\ell), v(\beta_\ell), v(\gamma_\ell)+\tfrac{1}{2}, v(\delta_\ell)+\tfrac{1}{2}\big).$$

We readily check that $g_1(a_1a_2) \geq g_1(a_1) + g_1(a_2)$ for $a_1, a_2 \in S_1$. It then follows by [TW15, Lemma 3.23] that g_1 defines a filtration on S_1. The associated graded ring is noted $\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)$. Let $\widetilde{t}$ be the image of t in $\mathsf{gr}_v(K)$. We have

$$\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1) = S \otimes_F M_2(F[\widetilde{t}, \widetilde{t}^{-1}])$$

with the grading defined by

$$\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} S\widetilde{t}^{\,\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & S\widetilde{t}^{\,\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{for } \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S\widetilde{t}^{\,\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} \\ S\widetilde{t}^{\,\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{for } \gamma \in \tfrac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\backslash\mathbb{Z}.$$

Let x be a nonzero homogeneous element in $\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)$. Since $\widetilde{t}$ is invertible in $\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)$, the two-sided ideal $I(x) \subset \mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)$ generated by x contains a nonzero element of $M_2(S)$. But $M_2(S)$ is simple and contained in $\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)$, hence $I(x)$ contains 1. Therefore, $\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)$ is graded simple algebra, and g_1 is a gauge on S_1 (see [TW15, Def. 3.31]).

(2) The (t_1, t_2)-adic valuation v on L being Henselian, it extends to a valuation w on Q defined by

$$w(q) = \tfrac{1}{2}v\big(\operatorname{Nrd}(q)\big) \in \big(\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\big)^2 \cup \{\infty\} \qquad \text{for } q \in Q,$$

where Nrd is the reduced norm. One further extends w to a map

$$g_2 \,:\, S_2 \longrightarrow \big(\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\big)^2 \cup \{\infty\}$$

as follows: we consider the F-base $(s_\ell)_{1\leq\ell\leq n}$ of S as above. Every element in S_2 has a unique representation of the form $\sum_\ell s_\ell \otimes q_\ell$ for some $q_\ell \in Q = (t_1, t_2)$. We define

$$g_2(\sum_\ell s_\ell \otimes q_\ell) = \min\{w(q_\ell) \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq n\}.$$

It is easy to see that this definition does not depend on the choice of the base $(s_\ell)_{1\leq\ell\leq n}$. We easily check that g_2 is a gauge. The associated graded ring is

$$\mathsf{gr}_{g_2}(S_2) = S \otimes_F (\widetilde{t}_1, \widetilde{t}_2)_{\mathsf{gr}_v(L)}$$

where $\widetilde{t}_1$ and $\widetilde{t}_2$ are respectively the images of t_1 and t_2 in $\mathsf{gr}_v(L) = F[\widetilde{t}_1, \widetilde{t_1}^{-1}, \widetilde{t}_2, \widetilde{t_2}^{-1}]$.

Now, let θ be an F-linear involution on a central simple algebra A over F. Assume that v is a valuation on F and A carries a v-gauge g. The gauge g is said to be *invariant* under θ (or θ-invariant) if

$$g(\theta(x)) = g(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

In this case, the involution θ preserves the filtration on A defined by g. Thus θ induces an involution $\widetilde{\theta}$ on $\mathsf{gr}_g(A)$ such that $\widetilde{\theta}(\widetilde{x}) = \widetilde{\theta(x)}$ for all $x \in A$. The involution θ is said to be anisotropic if there is no nonzero elements $x \in A$ such that $\theta(x)x = 0$ (see [KMRT98, §6.A]). Likewise, $\widetilde{\theta}$ is said to be anisotropic if there is no nonzero homogeneous element $\varepsilon \in \mathsf{gr}_g(A)$ such that $\widetilde{\theta}(\varepsilon)\varepsilon = 0$. Clearly, if $\widetilde{\theta}$ is anisotropic then θ is anisotropic. If g is a v-gauge on A that is invariant under θ, we denote by θ_0 the 0 component of $\widetilde{\theta}$. Thus, θ_0 is an involution on the $\overline{F}$-algebra $A_0 = A_{\geq 0}/A_{>0}$, which may be viewed as the residue involution on the residue algebra A_0.

Let (F, v) be a Henselian valued field with $\mathrm{char}(\overline{F}) \neq 2$ and let (A, θ) be a central simple F-algebra with (F-linear) involution. If the involution θ is anisotropic, it is shown in [TW11, Thm. 2.2] that there exists a unique v-gauge g on A such that g is invariant under θ and $g(\theta(a)a) = 2g(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Following Tignol-Wadsworth's terminology ([TW11]) such a gauge g is called *θ-special*.

The following proposition gives an explicit description of the special gauges on (S_1, σ) and (S_2, τ).

Proposition 3.2. *We consider the gauges g_1 and g_2 constructed in Examples 3.1 and the algebras with involution (S_1, σ) and (S_2, τ) are as in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.*

1. *The gauge g_1 is the unique gauge on S_1 that is invariant under σ, and moreover it satisfies $g_1(\sigma(a)a) = 2g_1(a)$ for all $a \in S_1$.*
2. *The gauge g_2 is the unique gauge on S_2 that is invariant under τ, and moreover it satisfies $g_2(\tau(b)b) = 2g_2(b)$ for all $b \in S_2$.*

(That is, g_1 and g_2 are σ-special and τ-special respectively.)

Proof. (1) It follows from the definition of g_1 that it is invariant under σ, that is, $g_1(\sigma(a)) = g_1(a)$ for all $a \in S_1$. On $\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)_0 = S \times S$, the induced involution is $\rho \times \rho$. Therefore, since ρ is anisotropic, σ also is by [TW11, Cor. 2.3], and the statement follows by [TW11, Thm. 2.2 and Cor. 2.3].

(2) One may check that $g_2(\tau(b)) = g_2(b)$ for all $b \in S_2$ (that is, g_2 is invariant under τ), and $g_2(\tau(b)b) = 2g_2(b)$. We may therefore consider the residue algebra with involution $\mathsf{gr}_{g_2}(S_2, \tau)_0$. Since the residue of Q is F and S is defined over F, we have $\mathsf{gr}_{g_2}(S_2, \tau)_0 \simeq (S, \rho)$. The involution ρ being anisotropic, the assertion follows by the same arguments as above. □

4. Decomposition with arbitrarily large co-index

The goal of this section is to give examples of a totally decomposable algebras with orthogonal involution of degree 2^m and co-index 2^{m-2} (for $m \geq 3$) which are not

totally decomposable with $(m-2)$ split factors. We start out by proving Thm. 1.1. We first prove the following:

Lemma 4.1. *Let (H,ν) be a quaternion algebra with anisotropic involution over $K = F((t))$ and let γ denote the canonical involution on H.*

1. *Suppose the algebra H is not defined over F. Then $H \simeq (at, b)$ for some $a, b \in F^\times$.*
2. *Suppose the algebra with involution (H,ν) is not defined over F and ν is orthogonal and let $\operatorname{disc}(\nu)$ denote the discriminant of ν. Then either $\operatorname{disc}(\nu) = at \cdot K^{\times 2}$ for some $a \in F^\times$ or $\operatorname{disc}(\nu) = b \cdot K^{\times 2}$ for some $b \in F^\times$.*
   - *If $\operatorname{disc}(\nu) = at \cdot K^{\times 2}$ (resp. $b \cdot K^{\times 2}$) then there exist quaternion generators i, j of H such that $i^2 = at$, $j^2 = b$ and $\nu = \operatorname{Int}(i) \circ \gamma$ (resp. $\nu = \operatorname{Int}(j) \circ \gamma$).*
   - *If H is split, then $(H,\nu) = \operatorname{Ad}_{\langle\langle at\rangle\rangle}$.*

Proof. We first recall that (see [Lam05, Cor. VI.1.3])

$$K^\times/K^{\times 2} = \{\alpha \cdot K^{\times 2} \mid \alpha \in F\} \cup \{\alpha t \cdot K^{\times 2} \mid \alpha \in F\}.$$

(1) Let q be a pure quaternion in H; we have $q^2 \in K^\times/K^{\times 2}$. Up to scaling, we may assume that either $q^2 = at$ for some $a \in F^\times$ or $q^2 = b$ for some $b \in F^\times$. Since we assume that H is not defined over F, the algebra H is either isomorphic to $H \simeq (at, b)$ or to $H \simeq (at, bt) \simeq (at, -ab)$.

(2) Now assume that ν is orthogonal. By [KMRT98, (2.7)], the involution ν has the form $\operatorname{Int}(s) \circ \gamma$ for some invertible $s \in H$ with $\gamma(s) = -s$. [KMRT98, (7.3)] shows that $\operatorname{disc}(\nu) = -\operatorname{Nrd}(s) \cdot K^{\times 2} \in K^\times/K^{\times 2}$, where Nrd denotes the reduced norm. Therefore, either $\operatorname{disc}(\nu) = at \cdot K^{\times 2}$ for some $a \in F^\times$ or $\operatorname{disc}(\nu) = b \cdot K^{\times 2}$ for some $b \in F^\times$. If $\operatorname{disc}(\nu) = at \cdot K^{\times 2}$, we choose $i = s$. One has $\nu = \operatorname{Int}(i) \circ \gamma$. Let $j \in H^\times$ be such that $j^2 = y \in K^\times/K^{\times 2}$ and $ij = -ji$ (recall that such a j always exists). Up to scaling we may assume $y \in F^\times$ or $y \in F^\times \cdot t$. We have the isomorphism $(H,\nu) \simeq ((at, b), \operatorname{Int}(i) \circ \gamma)$ if $y = b \in F^\times$ and $(H,\nu) \simeq ((at, bt), \operatorname{Int}(i) \circ \gamma)$ if y has the form $y = bt$. In the latter case, we may substitute ij^{-1} for j and reduce to the case where $j^2 \in F^\times$.

Likewise, if $\operatorname{disc}(\nu) = b \cdot K^{\times 2}$ for some $b \in F^\times$, we choose $j = s$. So $\nu = \operatorname{Int}(j) \circ \gamma$. Let $i \in H^\times$ be such that $i^2 \in K^\times/K^{\times 2}$ and $ij = -ji$. Since (H,ν) is not defined over F, up to scaling we have necessarily $i^2 = at$ for some $a \in F^\times$. This yields that $(H,\nu) \simeq ((at, b), \operatorname{Int}(j) \circ \gamma)$.

Now, suppose H is split. If so, $(H,\nu) \simeq \operatorname{Ad}_{\langle 1, -\operatorname{disc}(\nu)\rangle}$. Since it is not defined over F, we get $\operatorname{disc}(\nu) = at \cdot K^{\times 2}$ for some $a \in F^\times$, that is, $(H,\nu) \simeq \operatorname{Ad}_{\langle\langle at\rangle\rangle}$. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let (H_1,ν_1), (H_2,ν_2) be quaternion algebras with anisotropic involutions over $K = F((t))$. There exist quaternion algebras with involution (H_1',ν_1'), (H_2',ν_2') with (H_1',ν_1') defined over F such that*

$$(H_1,\nu_1) \otimes (H_2,\nu_2) \simeq (H_1',\nu_1') \otimes (H_2',\nu_2').$$

Moreover,

– *if* (H_1, ν_1) *and* (H_2, ν_2) *are split, we may find* (H_1', ν_1') *and* (H_2', ν_2') *such that both are split;*
– *if* (H_1, ν_1) *is split and* (H_2, ν_2) *is not split, we may find* (H_1', ν_1') *and* (H_2', ν_2') *such that* (H_1', ν_1') *is split and* (H_2', ν_2') *is not split.*

Proof. If (H_1, ν_1) or (H_2, ν_2) is defined over F, there is nothing to show. Otherwise, write $H_1 = (a_1 t, b_1)$ and $H_2 = (a_2 t, b_2)$ for some $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in F$. By Lemma 4.1, there exist quaternion generators i_1, j_1 and i_2, j_2 of H_1 and H_2 respectively such that $i_\ell^2 = a_\ell t, j_\ell^2 = b_\ell$, and $\nu_\ell(i_\ell) = \pm i_\ell$ and $\nu_\ell(j_\ell) = \pm j_\ell$ for $\ell = 1, 2$. Let $H_1', H_2' \subset H_1 \otimes H_2$ be quaternion subalgebras generated by $t^{-1} i_1 \otimes i_2$, $j_1 \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes i_2$, $j_1 \otimes j_2$ respectively. In fact, $H_1' = (a_1 a_2, b_1)$ and $H_2' = (a_2 t, b_1 b_2)$. We easily check that H_1' and H_2' are stable under $\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2$. Denote by ν_ℓ' (for $\ell = 1, 2$) the restriction of $\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2$ to H_ℓ'. We have the isomorphism

$$(H_1, \nu_1) \otimes (H_2, \nu_2) \simeq (H_1', \nu_1') \otimes (H_2', \nu_2')$$

with (H_1', ν_1') defined over F.

Now, if (H_1, ν_1) and (H_2, ν_2) are split, then the quadratic forms $\langle 1, -b_\ell \rangle \perp \langle -a_\ell t \rangle \langle 1, -b_\ell \rangle$ are isotropic (for $\ell = 1, 2$), hence b_1 and b_2 are squares. Thus, the algebras $H_1' = (a_1 a_2, b_1)$ and $H_2' = (a_2 t, b_1 b_2)$ are split. Finally, if (H_1, ν_1) is split and (H_2, ν_2) is not split, then $b_1 \in F^{\times 2}$ and $b_2 \notin F^{\times 2}$. So H_1' is split and H_2' is not split. This concludes the proof. □

Proposition 4.3. *The algebra with involution* (S_1, σ) *is as Thm. 1.1. Assume* (S_1, σ) *is totally decomposable with* $(n+1)$ *split factors. Then* $(S_1, \sigma) \simeq \mathrm{Ad}_{\langle\langle at \rangle\rangle} \otimes (S', \sigma')$ *for some* $a \in F$ *and some totally decomposable algebra with involution* (S', σ') *with* n *split factors. Moreover, each factor of* (S', σ') *is defined over* F.

Proof. Write $(S_1, \sigma) \simeq \bigotimes_{k=1}^m (Q_k, \sigma_k)$. It follows by part (1) of Lemma 4.1 that each Q_k is either defined over F or isomorphic to a quaternion algebra of the form (at, b) for some $a, b \in F$. Applying repeatedly Lemma 4.2, we get a total decomposition of (S_1, σ) in which each factor is defined over F except only one factor.

We show that the number of split factors in the decomposition of (S_1, σ) does not change by applying Lemma 4.2. Without loss of generality we may assume that (S_1, σ) is not totally decomposable with more than $(n+1)$ split factors. Let (Q_i, σ_i) and (Q_j, σ_j) be two factors of (S_1, σ). If (Q_i, σ_i) and (Q_j, σ_j) both are split, Lemma 4.2 shows that the tensor product $(Q_i, \sigma_i) \otimes (Q_j, \sigma_j)$ is exchanged for another one in which each factor is split. If (Q_i, σ_i) is split and (Q_j, σ_j) is not split, again Lemma 4.2 shows that the product $(Q_i, \sigma_i) \otimes (Q_j, \sigma_j)$ is exchanged for a product in which only one factor is split. Finally, suppose (Q_i, σ_i) and (Q_j, σ_j) both are division algebras with involution. Since we assume that (S_1, σ) is not totally decomposable with more than $(n+1)$ split factors, the product $(Q_i, \sigma_i) \otimes (Q_j, \sigma_j)$ is necessarily exchanged for another one in which each factor is a division algebra with involution. It then follows that the number of split factors in the decomposition of (S_1, σ) does not change by applying Lemma 4.2.

Let us denote by $((at,b),\sigma_r)$ the factor of (S_1,σ) which is not defined over F. We claim that $((at,b),\sigma_r) \simeq \mathrm{Ad}_{\langle\langle a't\rangle\rangle}$ for some $a' \in F^\times$. To see this, we first show that (at,b) is split. Assume the contrary, that is, (at,b) is a division quaternion algebra over K. On the one hand, according to Prop. 3.2, there exists a unique gauge g_1 on S_1 that is invariant under σ and its residue algebra is $\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)_0 = S \times S$ with the induced involution $\rho \times \rho$. On the other hand, write $(S_1,\sigma) \simeq ((at,b),\sigma_r) \otimes (S',\sigma')$ where S' is the centralizer of (at,b) in S_1. As we showed above, (S',σ') is totally decomposable with n split factors and each factor of (S',σ') is defined over F. Now, we construct the same gauge on S_1 by taking into account the fact that (at,b) is a division algebra: the field K being Henselian, the t-adic valuation v of K extends to a valuation w on (at,b). Let $(a_i)_{1\le i\le s}$ be an F-basis of S'. So every element in S_1 has a unique representation $\sum_i q_i \otimes a_i$ for some $q_i \in (at,b)$. It is easy to verify that the map $g_3 : S_1 \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ defined by

$$g_3(\sum_i q_i \otimes a_i) = \min\{w(q_i) \,|\, 1 \le i \le s\}$$

is a v-gauge on S_1. Moreover, it follows from the definition that g_3 is invariant under σ and $g_3(\sigma(a)a) = 2g_3(a)$ for all $a \in S_1$. Hence, g_3 is the unique v-gauge on S_1 that is preserved by σ by the same arguments as above. Therefore $g_3 = g_1$ by uniqueness arguments. This leads to a contradiction, since the residue of S_1 with respect to g_3 is $\mathsf{gr}_{g_3}(S_1)_0 \simeq A' \otimes F(\sqrt{b})$, which is simple, as opposed to the residue of S_1 with respect to g_1, which is not simple. Thus the quaternion algebra (at,b) is split. Since the involution σ is anisotropic, σ_r is necessarily orthogonal. It Then follows by part (2) of Lemma 4.1 that $((at,b),\sigma_r) \simeq \mathrm{Ad}_{\langle\langle a't\rangle\rangle}$ for some $a' \in F^\times$. Therefore $(S_1,\sigma) \simeq \mathrm{Ad}_{\langle\langle a't\rangle\rangle} \otimes (S',\sigma')$. We have the stated description of (S_1,σ). □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that (S_1,σ) is totally decomposable with $(n+1)$ split factors. By Prop. 4.3, $(S_1,\sigma) \simeq \mathrm{Ad}_{\langle\langle at\rangle\rangle} \otimes (S',\sigma')$, where (S',σ') is totally decomposable with n split factors, and each factor of (S',σ') is defined over F. We write $(S',\sigma') \simeq \otimes_{\ell=2}^m (Q_\ell,\sigma_\ell) \otimes K$. Consider the gauge g_1 on S_1 from Prop. 3.2, and recall that the residue algebra with involution of (S_1,σ) is $\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1,\sigma)_0 \simeq (S\times S, \rho\times\rho)$. For $\ell = 2,\dots,m$, let $i_\ell, j_\ell \in S'$ be such that

$$i_\ell^2 = a_\ell, \quad j_\ell^2 = b_\ell, \quad i_\ell j_\ell = -j_\ell i_\ell, \quad \sigma'(i_\ell) = \pm i_\ell, \quad \sigma'(j_\ell) = \pm j_\ell, \tag{4.1}$$

so $Q_\ell = (a_\ell, b_\ell)$ for all ℓ. Since $g_1(\sigma(a)a) = 2g_1(a)$ for all $a \in S_1$, we have $g_1(i_\ell) = \frac{1}{2}g_1(\pm a_\ell) = 0$ for all ℓ. Similarly, $g_1(j_\ell) = \frac{1}{2}g_1(\pm b_\ell) = 0$ for all ℓ. The images $\widetilde{i_\ell}, \widetilde{j_\ell}$ of i_ℓ, j_ℓ in $\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)_0 \simeq S \times S$ satisfy conditions similar to (4.1). The involution σ being preserved by g_1, it induces an involution $\widetilde{\sigma}$ on $\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)$. Consider a projection $\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1)_0 \to S$. This projection is a homomorphism of algebras with involution $p : (\mathsf{gr}_{g_1}(S_1),\widetilde{\sigma})_0 \to (S,\rho)$. The images $p(\widetilde{i_\ell}), p(\widetilde{j_\ell})$ generate a copy of $\otimes_{\ell=2}^m (Q_\ell,\sigma_\ell)$ in (S,ρ). Thus, we have the isomorphism $\otimes_{\ell=2}^m (Q_\ell,\sigma_\ell) \simeq (S,\rho)$ by dimension count. Therefore (S,ρ) is totally decomposable with n split factors. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let (A', σ') be the algebra with orthogonal involution of Prop. 2.1. The algebra with involution $\mathrm{Ad}_{\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle} \otimes (A', \sigma')$ is not totally decomposable with 2 split factors.*

Proof. Assume the contrary, that is, $\mathrm{Ad}_{\langle\langle t\rangle\rangle} \otimes (A', \sigma')$ is totally decomposable with 2 split factors. It follows by Thm. 1.1 that (A', σ') is totally decomposable with 1 split factor; that is impossible. Hence the corollary is proved. □

Remark 4.5. The construction in Cor. 4.4 can be iterated to obtain examples of algebras with orthogonal involution of degree 2^m (for $m \geq 4$) and co-index 2^{m-2} that are not totally decomposable with $(m-2)$ split factors although the underlying algebras are totally decomposable with $(m-2)$ split factors.

5. Decomposition with arbitrarily large index

The main object of this section is to prove Thm. 1.2. This theorem leads to examples of totally decomposable algebras with involution of degree 2^m (for $m \geq 3$) and co-index 2 which are not totally decomposable with 1 split factor. For the proof of Thm. 1.2 we need some preliminary results. Let E be an arbitrary central simple algebra of exponent 2 over F. As in part 1.1 of Section 1, Q denotes the quaternion algebra (t_1, t_2) over the field $L = F((t_1))((t_2))$. We set

$$C = E \otimes_F Q.$$

Consider the gauge as constructed in part (2) of Example 3.1, where we take E instead of S. Here, we denote this gauge by φ. Let θ be an L-linear anisotropic involution on C such that φ is invariant under θ. Let us denote by θ_0 the induced involution on $\mathsf{gr}_\varphi(C)_0 = E$. We have the following:

Lemma 5.1. *The algebras with involution (C, θ) and (E, θ_0) are as above. Denote by γ the canonical involution on Q and let $1, i, j, ij$ be a quaternion base of Q such that $i^2 = t_1$ and $j^2 = t_2$. We have the decomposition*

$$(C, \theta) \simeq (E, \theta_0) \otimes_F (Q, \mathrm{Int}(u) \circ \gamma),$$

where u is one of the elements $1, i, j, ij$.

Proof. As in Example 3.1, recall that

$$\mathsf{gr}_\varphi(C) = E \otimes_F (\widetilde{t_1}, \widetilde{t_2})_{\mathsf{gr}_v(L)}$$

where v denotes the (t_1, t_2)-adic valuation on L. Since $\widetilde{\theta}$ induces θ_0 on E, it suffices to evaluate the restriction $\widetilde{\theta}|_{\mathsf{gr}_\varphi(Q)}$ of $\widetilde{\theta}$ to $\mathsf{gr}_\varphi(Q) = (\widetilde{t_1}, \widetilde{t_2})_{\mathsf{gr}_v(L)}$. Notice that the degrees $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ components of $\mathsf{gr}_\varphi(C)$ are respectively $E \otimes \widetilde{i}$ and $E \otimes \widetilde{j}$. Since θ preserves the filtration on $\mathsf{gr}_\varphi(C)$ defined by the gauge φ, one has $\widetilde{\theta}(1 \otimes \widetilde{i}) = \zeta_1 \otimes \widetilde{i}$ for some $\zeta_1 \in E$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\zeta_1 \otimes \widetilde{i}$ centralizes E since $1 \otimes \widetilde{i}$ centralizes $E \otimes 1$ and $\widetilde{\theta}(1 \otimes \widetilde{i}) = \zeta_1 \otimes \widetilde{i}$. Therefore $\zeta_1 \in F$. On the other hand, we have

$$1 \otimes \widetilde{i} = \widetilde{\theta}^2(1 \otimes \widetilde{i}) = \widetilde{\theta}(\zeta_1 \otimes \widetilde{i}) = (\theta_0(\zeta_1)\zeta_1) \otimes \widetilde{i}.$$

This shows that $\theta_0(\zeta_1)\zeta_1 = 1$, and so $\zeta_1 = \pm 1$. Likewise, $\widetilde{\theta}(1 \otimes \widetilde{j}) = \zeta_2 \otimes \widetilde{j}$ with $\zeta_2 = \pm 1$. Thus, we have:

$$\widetilde{\theta}|_{\mathsf{gr}_\varphi(Q)} = \begin{cases} \gamma & \text{if } \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = -1, \\ \mathrm{Int}(\widetilde{j}) \circ \gamma & \text{if } \zeta_1 = 1, \zeta_2 = -1, \\ \mathrm{Int}(\widetilde{i}) \circ \gamma & \text{if } \zeta_1 = -1, \zeta_2 = 1, \\ \mathrm{Int}(\widetilde{ij}) \circ \gamma & \text{if } \zeta_1 = 1, \zeta_2 = -1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, our arguments show that $\widetilde{\theta} = \theta_0 \otimes (\mathrm{Int}(\widetilde{u}) \circ \gamma)$ where u is one of the quaternion base elements $1, i, j, ij$ of Q. It then follows by [TW11, Thm. 2.6] that the algebras with involution $(E \otimes_F Q, \theta)$ and $(E \otimes_F Q, \theta_0 \otimes \mathrm{Int}(u) \circ \gamma)$ are isomorphic. This concludes the proof. □

From now on, we assume that (C, θ) is totally decomposable. We want to show that (E, θ_0) is totally decomposable. We first introduce the notion of an armature, which originated in [Tig82] and [TW87]. However, we shall refer frequently to the recent book [TW15] that gives a more extensive treatment of armatures. Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field F. Recall from [TW15, Def. 7.27] that a subgroup $\mathcal{A} \subset A^\times/F^\times$ is an *armature* of A if $\mathcal{A}$ is abelian, A has cardinality $|\mathcal{A}| = [A : F]$, and $\{a \in A \,|\, aF^\times \in \mathcal{A}\}$ spans A as an F-vector space. For $a \in A^\times/F^\times$, we fix a representative x_a in A whose image in $A^\times/F^\times$ is a, that is, $a = x_a F^\times$. In what follows, we always suppose A is a central simple algebra of exponent 2 over F, so the exponent of the group $\mathcal{A}$ is 2, that is, $x_a^2 \in F^\times$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

For example, let A be a quaternion algebra over F. The image in $A^\times/F^\times$ of the standard generators i, j generate an armature of A. More generally, in a tensor product of quaternion algebras the images of the product of standard generators generate an armature. Actually, there exists a characterization of tensor products of quaternion algebras in terms of the existence of armatures: a central simple algebra A over F of exponent 2 has an armature if and only if A is isomorphic to a tensor product of quaternion algebras over F (see [TW15, Cor. 7.34]).

For an armature $\mathcal{A}$ of a finite-dimensional F-algebra A of exponent 2, there is an associated *armature pairing*

$$\langle\,,\,\rangle : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mu_2(F) = \{\pm 1\} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \langle a, b\rangle = x_a x_b x_a^{-1} x_b^{-1}.$$

It is shown in [TW15, Prop. 7.26] that $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ is a well-defined alternating bimultiplicative pairing. The set $\{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$ is called a base of $\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{A}$ is the internal direct product

$$\mathcal{A} = (a_1) \times \cdots \times (a_r)$$

where (a_i) denotes the cyclic subgroup of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by a_i. If $\langle\,,\,\rangle$ is nondegenerate then $(\mathcal{A}, \langle\,,\,\rangle)$ is a symplectic module and has a *symplectic base* with respect to $\langle\,,\,\rangle$, i.e, a base $\{a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_n, b_n\}$ such that for all i, j

$$\langle a_i, b_i\rangle = -1, \text{ where } \mathrm{ord}(a_i) = \mathrm{ord}(b_i) = 2$$

$$\langle a_i, a_j\rangle = \langle b_i, b_j\rangle = 1 \text{ and, if } i \neq j, \ \langle a_i, b_j\rangle = 1$$

(see [TW15, Thm. 7.2] or [Tig82, 1.8]). Given any subgroup $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, let $F[\mathcal{B}]$ denote the F-subspace (and subalgebra) of A generated by the representatives of the elements of $\mathcal{B}$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{B}$ is an armature of $F[\mathcal{B}]$.

Let v be a valuation on F. Assume that A has an armature $\mathcal{A}$. Using the armature $\mathcal{A}$, an F-gauge on A is constructed in [TW15, §7.2.3]: a map $g_{\mathcal{A}} : A \longrightarrow \Gamma$ is defined as follows. We choose an F-basis $(x_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}}$ of A and set

$$g_{\mathcal{A}}(\sum_{a\in\mathcal{A}} \lambda_a x_a) = \min_{a\in\mathcal{A}}(v(\lambda_a) + \tfrac{1}{2}v(x_a^2)) \in \Gamma \cup \{\infty\} \text{ for } \lambda_a \in F,$$

where we recall that $x_a^2 \in F^\times$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. One also defines a map $\overline{g}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_F$ by

$$\overline{g}_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = g_{\mathcal{A}}(x_a) + \Gamma_F.$$

The map $\overline{g}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is well-defined since x_a is uniquely determined by a up to a factor in F. The map $g_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a v-gauge on A and $\overline{g}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a surjective group homomorphism, see [TW15, Lemma 7.46, Cor. 7.48 and Thm. 7.49]. Following Tignol-Wadsworth's terminology in [TW15], the gauge $g_{\mathcal{A}}$ is called the *armature gauge* associated to $\mathcal{A}$.

We now return to the central simple L-algebra with involution (C, θ) defined above. We fix the setting we will consider in the next proposition. Assume that C has an armature $\mathcal{C}$ and $\theta(x_a) = \pm x_a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $g_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the armature gauge associated to $\mathcal{C}$. It is clear from the definition of the armature gauge $g_{\mathcal{C}}$ that $g_{\mathcal{C}}(\theta(c)) = g_{\mathcal{A}}(c)$ and $g_{\mathcal{C}}(\theta(c)c) = 2g_{\mathcal{C}}(c)$ for all $c \in C$. The (t_1, t_2)-adic valuation of L being Henselian, and θ being anisotropic, $g_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the unique gauge on C invariant under θ (see [TW11, Thm. 2.2]), that is, $g_{\mathcal{C}} = \varphi$ (where φ is the gauge used in the proof of Lemma 5.1) . Set $\mathcal{C}_0 = \ker \overline{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Since $\Gamma_C/\Gamma_F = (\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^2$, it follows from the surjectivity of $\overline{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$ that

$$|\mathcal{C}_0| = |\mathcal{C}|/|(\tfrac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^2| = \tfrac{1}{4}|\mathcal{C}| = \dim_F E.$$

We have the following result.

Proposition 5.2. *The algebras with involution (C, θ) and (E, θ_0) are as in Lemma 5.1. Assume that (C, θ) is totally decomposable. Then (E, θ_0) is totally decomposable.*

Proof. Write $(C, \theta) = \bigotimes_{m=1}^{k}(Q_m, \theta_m)$ for some quaternion algebras Q_m and some involutions θ_m on Q_m (for $m = 1, \ldots, k$). We choose the quaternion generators i_m and j_m of Q_m such a way that $\theta(i_m) = \pm i_m$ and $\theta(j_m) = \pm j_m$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the armature of C associated to the generators i_m, j_m for $m = 1, \ldots, k$, that is, $\mathcal{C}$ is the subgroup of $C^\times/L^\times$ generated by the image of $\{i_1, j_1, \ldots, i_k, j_k\}$. It is shown in [TW10, Prop. 4.8] that the degree 0 component of $\mathsf{gr}_\varphi(C)$, which is $\mathsf{gr}_\varphi(C)_0 = E$, has an armature canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_0$ with armature pairing isometric to the restriction to $\mathcal{C}_0$ of the armature pairing of $\mathcal{C}$. We recall that the gauge φ is nothing but the armature gauge associated to $\mathcal{C}$ by uniqueness arguments. Since the center F of E is a field, [TW87, Cor. 2.8] indicates that E is a tensor product of quaternion algebras. In other words, let $\{a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}, b_{k-1}\}$ be a symplectic base of $\mathcal{C}_0$ and let denote

by $F[a_i, b_i]$ the quaternion subalgebra of E generated by the representatives x_{a_i}, x_{b_i} of a_i, b_i. The algebra E is the tensor product $E = F[a_1, b_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes F[a_{k-1}, b_{k-1}]$. Since $\theta(x_a) = \pm x_a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{C}$, the algebra with involution (E, θ_0) is totally decomposable. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let θ_1 and θ_2 be L-linear anisotropic involutions on $M_2(L)$ and Q respectively. Then there exist $\lambda_0 \in F^\times$ such that*

$$(M_2(L), \theta_1) \otimes (Q, \theta_2) \simeq (M_2(F), \mathrm{ad}_{\langle\langle \lambda_0 \rangle\rangle}) \otimes (Q, \theta_2).$$

Proof. Setting $V = Q \times Q$, there is $\lambda \in L^\times$ such that $\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2$ is the adjoint of the binary Hermitian form $h = \langle 1, -\lambda \rangle : V \times V \to Q$ with respect to θ_2 on Q (see for instance [KMRT98, Thm. (4.2)]). We claim that we may always suppose $w(\lambda) = (0, 0)$. Indeed, multiplying λ by a square if it is necessary, the valuation $w(\lambda)$ is either $(0, 0)$ or $(1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ or $(1, 1)$. For instance, assume $w(\lambda) = (1, 0)$. We set $\theta' = \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2$ for simplicity. Choose a quaternion base $1, i, j, ij$ of Q such a way that $\theta'(1 \otimes i) = \pm 1 \otimes i$, $\theta'(1 \otimes j) = \pm 1 \otimes j$ and $i^2 = t_1$. Let e_1, e_2 be the base of V corresponding to the diagonalization $h = \langle 1, -\lambda \rangle$. Replacing the base e_1, e_2 by $e_1, i^{-1}e_2$, we get the isometry $h = \langle 1, -\lambda \rangle \simeq \langle 1, -\theta'(1 \otimes i)^{-1}\lambda(1 \otimes i)^{-1} \rangle$. Moreover, we have $w(\theta'(1 \otimes i)^{-1}\lambda(1 \otimes i)^{-1}) = 0$. Hence, we may always suppose $w(\lambda) = (0, 0)$ as claimed.

Since $w(\lambda) = (0, 0)$, one has $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda_0$ for some $\lambda_0 \in F^\times$. Thus, $\overline{\lambda\lambda_0^{-1}} = 1$. By Hensel's lemma it follows that there exists $l \in L^\times$ such that $\lambda_0 l^2 = \lambda$. Hence, $\langle 1, -\lambda \rangle = \langle 1, -\lambda_0 l^2 \rangle \simeq \langle 1, -\lambda_0 \rangle$ for some $\lambda_0 \in F^\times$. So

$$(M_2(L) \otimes Q, \theta') \simeq (M_2(F), \mathrm{ad}_{\langle\langle \lambda_0 \rangle\rangle}) \otimes (Q, \theta_2).$$

The lemma is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume that (S_2, τ) is totally decomposable with n split factors, say

$$(S_2, \tau) \simeq \bigotimes_{k=1}^{n} (M_2(L), \tau_k) \otimes (B, \eta),$$

for some involutions τ_k on $M_2(L)$ and some totally decomposable involution η on the centralizer B of $M_{2^n}(L)$ in S_2.

We first show that $B = B_0 \otimes Q$ for some subalgebra B_0 of B defined over F. For this, let D be the division algebra Brauer equivalent to S. The algebra $S_2 = S \otimes Q$ is Brauer equivalent to $B \sim D \otimes Q$. Hence $B \simeq M_r(D) \otimes Q$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. It then follows that $B \simeq B_0 \otimes Q$ with $B_0 \simeq M_r(D)$ defined over F.

As in Lemma 5.1, notice that there exists a η-invariant gauge on B and

$$(B, \eta) \simeq (B_0, \eta_0) \otimes (Q, \mathrm{Int}(u) \circ \gamma)$$

for some $u \in Q^\times$, where η_0 is the induced involution on B_0 by this gauge and γ is the canonical involution on Q. Thus, we have

$$(S_2, \tau) \simeq \bigotimes_{k=1}^{n} (M_2(L), \tau_k) \otimes (B_0, \eta_0) \otimes (Q, \mathrm{Int}(u) \circ \gamma).$$

Moreover the involution η_0 is totally decomposable by Prop. 5.2 since η is totally decomposable. Applying repeatedly Lemma 5.3, one finds $\lambda_1, \dots \lambda_n \in F^\times$ such that

$$(S_2, \tau) \simeq \bigotimes_{k=1}^{n} (M_2(F), \mathrm{ad}_{\langle\langle \lambda_k \rangle\rangle}) \otimes (B_0, \eta_0) \otimes (Q, \mathrm{Int}(u) \circ \gamma).$$

Consider the gauge g_2 on S_2 constructed in Example 3.1. The residue algebra with involution $\mathsf{gr}_{g_2}(S_2, \tau)_0$ is

$$\mathsf{gr}_{g_2}(S_2, \tau)_0 \simeq (S, \rho) \simeq \bigotimes_{k=1}^{n} (M_2(F), \mathrm{ad}_{\langle\langle \lambda_k \rangle\rangle}) \otimes (B_0, \eta_0).$$

Since (B_0, η_0) is totally decomposable, (S, ρ) is totally decomposable with n split factors. That concludes the proof. □

Now, let (A', σ') be the algebra with orthogonal involution of Prop. 2.1. Notice that $A' \otimes Q$ is of degree 16 and index 8, and it is totally decomposable with 1 split factor since A' is totally decomposable with 1 split factor. It is also clear that $(A', \sigma') \otimes (Q, \rho)$ is totally decomposable. But, we have the following:

Corollary 5.4. *The algebra with involution $(A', \sigma') \otimes (Q, \rho)$ is not totally decomposable with 1 split factor.*

Proof. Assume that $(A', \sigma') \otimes (Q, \rho)$ is totally decomposable with 1 split factor. It follows from Thm. 1.2 that (A', σ') is totally decomposable with 1 split factor; that is impossible. Therefore $(A', \sigma') \otimes (Q, \rho)$ is not totally decomposable with 1 split factor. □

Remark 5.5. Combining Corollaries 4.4 and 5.4, one can construct examples of totally decomposable algebras with involution of index 2^r, where $r \geq 2$ for orthogonal involutions and $r \geq 3$ for symplectic involutions, and co-index 2^k with $k \geq 1$ that are not totally decomposable with k split factors.

Acknowledgements. I am very grateful to Jean-Pierre Tignol for triggering the idea presented in this paper and for his availability. I would like to thank Anne Quéguiner-Mathieu for inspiring discussion and for the financial support provided by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) under reference ANR-12-BL01-0005. I further gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the French Embassy in Bamako (Grant N° 830592G (2014)).

References

[ART79] S. A. Amitsur, L. H. Rowen, J.-P. Tignol, *Division algebras of degree* 4 *and* 8 *with involution*, Isreal J. Math., **33**, 133–148, (1979).

[Bec08] K. J. Becher, *A proof of the Pfister factor conjecture*, Invent. Math. **173** (2008), 1–6.

[BMT03] G. Berhuy, M. Monsurrò, J.-P. Tignol, *The discriminant of a symplectic involution*, Pacific J. Math. **209** (2003), 201–218.

[GPT09] S. Garibaldi, R. Parimala, J.-P. Tignol, *Discriminant of symplectic involutions*, Pure App. Math. Quart., **5** (2009), 349 - 374.

[Jac96] N. Jacobson, *Finite-dimensional division algebras over fields*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1996).

[KMRT98] M.-A. Knus, S. A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, J.-P. Tignol, *The book of involutions*, Colloquium Publ., vol. **44**, AMS, Providence, RI, (1998).

[Lam05] T. Y. Lam, *Introduction to quadratic forms over fields*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. **67**, AMS, Providence, (2005).

[MQT09] A. Masquelein, A. Quéguiner-Mathieu, J.-P. Tignol, *Quadratic forms of dimension* 8 *with trivial discriminant and Clifford algebra of index 4*, Arch. Math., **93** (2009), 129–138.

[Mer81] A. S. Merkurjev, *On the norm residue symbol of degree* 2, Sov. Math. Dokl., **24**, 546–551, (1981).

[Pie82] R. S. Pierce, Associative Algebras, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. **88**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, (1982).

[RTW07] J.-F. Renard, J.-P. Tignol, A. R. Wadsworth, *Graded Hermitian forms and Springer's theorem*, Indag. Math. N. S, **18** (2007), 97–134.

[Sha00] D. B. Shapiro, *Compositions of quadratic forms*, Expositions in Mathematics, vol. **33**, De Gruyter, (2000).

[Siv05] A. S. Sivatski, *Applications of Clifford algebras to involutions and quadratic forms*, Com. in Algebra, **33** (2005), 937–951

[Tig82] J.-P. Tignol, *Sur les décompositions des algèbres à division en produit tensoriel d'algèbres cycliques*, Brauer Groups in Ring Theory and Algebraic Geometry (F. Van Oystaeyen and A. Verschoren, eds.), Lecture notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, **917**, 126–145, (1982).

[TW87] J.-P. Tignol, A. R. Wadsworth, *Totally ramified valuations on finite-dimensional division algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **302, No. 1**, 223–250 (1987).

[TW10] J.-P. Tignol, A. R. Wadsworth, *Value functions and associated graded rings for semi simple algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **362, No. 2** (2010), 687–726.

[TW11] J.-P. Tignol, A. R. Wadsworth, *Valuations on algebras with involution*, Math. Ann., **351** (2011), 109–148.

[TW15] J.-P. Tignol, A. R. Wadsworth, *Value functions on simple algebras, and associated graded rings*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2015. DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16360-4.

DER de Mathématiques et Informatique, Université des Sciences des Techniques et des Technologies de Bamako, BP: E3206 Bamako, Mali

E-mail address: barry.demba@gmail.com